

IRISH CATTLE BREEDING FEDERATION

Multi-breed Genomic Evaluations for 1 million Beef Cattle in Ireland.



A.R. Cromie, R.D. Evans, J F Kearney, M. McClure, J. McCarthy and D.P. Berry



Overview of Talk.

- 1. Setting the scene.
- 2. The Irish Cattle Breeding Industry.
- 3. The Breeding Goal in Beef.
- 4. The Irish Beef Genomics Scheme.
 - Overview of the scheme.
 - Technical challenges encountered.
- 5. Conclusions.



1. What's important for Ireland?

- · Ag Food Industry.
 - 9% of GDP. 80% milk & meat are exported.
 - ~5%/annum growth in output.
- · Rural Ireland.
 - 1.3m dairy & 1m beef cows. 15k dairy & 50k beef farms.
- "Smart & Green"
 - Using best science to support indigenous industries.
- Environment & Climate.



National News Headlines 20 July 2016





2. Irish Cattle Breeding.

- \cdot Co-ordinated by ICBF.
 - ICBF => a co-operative of 30 cattle breeding organisations
 (AI, HB + MRO's) + 2 Farm Organisations.
 - Established the central database in 2002. Now the key cornerstone for Irish AgFood industry.
 - Turnover ~€8m. Funded by industry & government (DAFM).
- Focused on "profit from science".
 - Key relationship with Teagasc (govt research & extension)
 - Genetics/genomics cornerstone of industry strategy.
- World-leading (research => implementation).
 - 2nd in world to launch dairy genomics, after US.
 - Beef Genomics => largest livestock genomics project globally.









IDB Chip – The database in 54k SNP's!

IDB SNP CHIP INTERNATIONAL DAIRY & BEEF SNP CHIP



Designed in association with the Irish Cattle Breeding Federation (ICBF), Teagas Weatherbys and USDA's Agricultural Research Service.



- The International Dairy & Beef Chip.
- Developed in Ireland, with Illumina. Currently on v3.
- 54k SNP's.
 - 40k core, 6k for better imputation, 7k for "regions of interest" & 1k for major genes/defects.
- 160 Major genes/defect.
 - Database will drive this.
- V4+ use of sequence data.



3. The Breeding Goal in Beef.

- \cdot The ideal suckler cow must;
 - Calve herself.
 - Calve each year.
 - Have enough milk to rear her calve.
 - Be not too big.
 - Have a good quality calf at foot.
 - In summary, a "balanced" cow.
- She needs to produce more output from less input => more profitable, more sustainable, more carbon efficient.



A balanced cow!



An Irish 5-Star cow.



One of David's best cows. This nine-year-old SI X cow has a Replacement Index of €169 (5 star, top 1%). She calved for the first time at 24 months, has had eight calves with an average calving interval of 364 days and she weaned the heaviest calf of David's 2015 calf crop. She is sired by the old Simmental AI bull Hurtig (HRG).

€uro-Star Replacement Index.





Does it work? Cow Analysis.

- 162,363 females that were born in 2011 and subsequently entered the suckler herd as female replacements.
 - Across a range of breeds.
- Compared performance of 5 star females (top 20% rank on replacement index), relative to 1 star females (bottom 20% rank on replacement index).
- Are the 5 star females better for the key profit traits?





HerdPlus®

5 Star Cows Leaving More Profit $\star \star \star \star \star$



All Suckler Cows



| Cow Details | | | | Milk Performance | | Fertility Performance | | | Progeny Carcass Performance | | | |
|-----------------------|----------------|----------------------|------------------|--------------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------|--------------------------------|------------------|-------------------------------|--|
| Star Rating | No. of Cows | Replacement Index | % Still Alive | Calf Weaning Weight (kg) | Cow Milk Score (1-5) | Age 1st Calving (months) | Calving Interval (days) | No. of Calvings | Carcass Weight (kg) | Carcass Value | Age at Slaughter (days) | |
| **** | 33,493 | €108 | 83% | 336 | 4.08 | 30.2 | 403 | 2.69 | 358 | €1,474 | 697 | |
| **** | 24,317 | €76 | 80% | 324 | 3.87 | 30.9 | 407 | 2.56 | 356 | €1,469 | 712 | |
| *** | 21,644 | €60 | 79% | 319 | 3.74 | 31.3 | 411 | 2.47 | 356 | €1,470 | 715 | |
| ** | 20,908 | €43 | 76% | 315 | 3.61 | 31.5 | 416 | 2.40 | 357 | €1,475 | 721 | |
| * | 23,911 | €12 | 72% | 309 | 3.36 | 32.1 | 423 | 2.25 | 357 | €1,477 | 726 | |
| Differe 5 Star V's | nce 1 Star | +€96 | 11% | 27kg | 0.72 | -1.9 months | -20 days | 0.44 calves | Okg | €-2 | -29 days | |
| Porformance of | all avaldor f | amalas harn in 2 | 0 <u>11</u> | ranked on nou | | 21 ac | | | | | | |

Does it work? Herd Analysis.

- · ICBF Replacement Index.
 - Average replacement index for herd.
- Bord Bia Carbon Navigator.
 - Data collected on a number of herd metrics including; (i) numbers animals by age, (ii) length grazing season, (iii) manure management etc....=> Herd Assessment of CO2 emissions produced per Kg beef
- Are herds of higher genetic merit for Replacement Index more carbon efficient?
 - Analysis based on 1550 herds with data.



High Genetic Merit Herds are More Carbon Efficient.

| Table 1. Relationship between Herd Replacement Index & Carbon Emissions per kg Beef. | | | | | | | | |
|--|----------|---------------------------|------------------------------|--------|--|--|--|--|
| | Hero | Replacement Index | Carbon Emmisions per kg Beef | | | | | |
| Herd Rank | Herd Ave | Change in profit/cow/year | Herd Average | % Diff | | | | |
| Top 10% | €109.4 | | 12.44 | | | | | |
| 2 | €94.6 | -€29.6 | 12.68 | 1.9% | | | | |
| 3 | €88.3 | -€42.2 | 12.62 | 1.4% | | | | |
| 4 | €83.7 | -€51.3 | 13.09 | 5.2% | | | | |
| Mid 50% | €79.3 | -€60.3 | 12.87 | 3.4% | | | | |
| 6 | €75.1 | -€68.6 | 13.05 | 4.9% | | | | |
| 7 | €71.0 | -€ 76 .9 | 13.20 | 6.1% | | | | |
| 8 | €65.8 | -€87.2 | 13.28 | 6.7% | | | | |
| 9 | 658.6 | 6101.5 | 13.19 | 6.0% | | | | |
| Btm 10% | €43.1 | -€132.5 | 13.54 | 8.8% | | | | |



We have all made climate change pledges.



John Muldowney, DAFM, 2016

The EU is strongly committed.

EU council conclusions (Climate Change Report, Oct 2014), Paragraph 2.14

-to ensure coherence between the EU's food security and climate change objectives.
-to examine the best means of encouraging the sustainable intensification of food production, while optimising the sector's contribution to greenhouse gas mitigation.....

As a net food exporter, IRE must do more!

John Muldowney, DAFM, 2016







Agriculture, Food and the Marine

^{An Roinn} Talmhaíochta, Bia agus Mara

4. Irish Beef Data 87 Genomics Program

Beef Data & Genomics Program (BDGP) launched May 2015



We use cookies to ensure that we give you the best experience on our website, by using this site you agree to our cookie policy Reec Moten scheme will work

How the EU Voluntary Milk

2

23:53

20/07/2016

EN

The Irish BDGP Scheme.

- Focused on breeding more profitable, sustainable and carbon efficient cows.
- Funded from EU Rural Development Program.
 - Co-funded by Irish government (DAFM).
- €300m total funding 6 years (2015-2020)
 - Farmers paid ~€90/cow/year to complete key actions re: the scheme.
 - ~€40m allocated towards cost genotyping. ~500k animals genotyped to-date.
 - ~2.5m animals in total will be genotyped during period of scheme. ~30k/wk at max. Now!



Key Project partners.

- **DAFM/EU**; Scheme "owners" and responsible for scheme delivery.
- **ICBF**; Data collection, genotyping, delivery of genetic/genomic evaluations & reports.
 - Role of Scientific Advisory Committee (Amer,

Garrick, Mantysaari, Meuwissen & Veerkamp).

- **Teagasc**; Research, extension & training.
- Illumina; Delivery of IDB 54k cust chip.
- Weatherby's/Eurofins; Lab services.
- Bord Bia; Carbon Navigator.



Key Actions; Tagging



- Started with tagging cows (for reference population), now moving more toward female calves => potential female replacements.
- · Pedigree males also prioritised.



Key Actions; Data Recording



- Farmers receive forms regularly re: data recording (including any animals that are missing data).
- Paper based and electronic recording.



Key Actions; Data recording

Beef Data & Genomics Programme



score with an rg of 0.8 with maternal

weaning weight

· View Genotype Details

Key Actions; % 4 & 5 star females.



Commercial Females

Report Date: Herd: Herd Owner: 18-May-16 (May 2016 Evaluation) T1560143 / IE3113852 THOMAS MCGOWAN JNR



Replacement Index

| All commercial females are listed here, by order of Replacement Index. |
|--|
| A commercial female is a female not recorded as purebred in the ICBF database. |

| Jumbo | Tag | Date of | Calvi | Breed | Dam | Sire | Index | Euro-Stars | Genomic |
|-------|----------------|-----------|-------|-------------|----------------|----------------|-------|------------|---------|
| | | Birtii | ngs | (Blue Card) | | | | Breed | Eval. |
| 2496 | IE311338662496 | 21-May-15 | | HEX | IE311338632007 | IE251141240404 | €115 | * * * * * | Yes |
| 106 | IE311385230106 | 11-Feb-09 | 5 | BBX | IE311385280060 | TZA | €65 | * * * | Yes |
| 183 | IE311385270183 | 04-Apr-15 | | LMX | IE311385230147 | EBY | €63 | * * * | |
| 184 | IE311385280184 | 04-Apr-15 | | LMX | IE311385210145 | EBY | €50 | * * | |
| 200 | IE311385270200 | 17-Feb-16 | | LMX | IE231226920126 | ZGM | €34 | * * | |

Farmers must have a minimum of 20% of breeding females as 4 or 5 stars by 2018 and 50% by 2020. At least one breeding male must be 4 or 5 star by 2019.



Key Actions; Training.

Reef Data & Genomics Program

ICBF 1

5 Star Cows deliver on **BETTER Farms**

losses on suckler farms as well from analysis of the re-cently concluded Phase as increased labour through spread out calving patterns and longer breeding seasons. There was a difference of 11 days 2 of the Teagasc, Irish Farmers Journal BETTER Farm Beef Programme (2012-2015) in the average calving interval Over 3,000 cows calved down in the 30 herds producing over 8,500 progeny over the course between the 5 star and 1 star cows. The 5 star cows had an average calving interval of 378 of the four year programme. days while the 1 star cows were As part of the analysis the at 389 days. cows were divided up by their Replacement Index star rating

ADG of Progeny The milk performance of suck (across breed) and compared ler cows is measured through Age 1st Calving the weight gain expressed by their calves. While only a small percentage of suckler calves are weight recorded nationally

Calving Interval Average Daily Gain of progeny (ADG). As Table 1 outlines, the 5 each year, weighing was carried star Replacement Index cows out routinely in the programm outperformed their herd mater herds which ensured that ther cross all of the key maternal analysis.

Age 1st Calving Less than 20% of suckler females calve for the first time between 22-26 months. An industry target is to get more herds to lower the age of 1st calving of heifers as close to 24 months as possible due to the creased output and subsequent economic benefits. In the rogramme herds, the 5 star females had an average age of 1st calving of 865 days (28.5 months). The 1 star females were 58 days older at 923 days (30.5 months)

group of cows had an unfair ad-Average Calving Interval antage by being mated to bulls The calving interval of the with superior growth traits. national suckler herd stands at 407 days. The average Irish tic Influence

| at tot days, the atoroge mon | |
|-----------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| suckler cow is, therefore, losing | Of course, management |
| 42 days (6 weeks) per year. | practices on farms can have |
| This results in huge economic | a huge impact on cow fertility |
| | |
| | |

| Star Rating | Cows | Avg. Rep Index (E) | Age 1st Calving (Days) | Avg. Calving Int. (Days) | ADG of Progeny (kg |
|------------------------|------|-----------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------|
| 5 Star | 1293 | 133 | 865 | 378 | 1.24 |
| 4 Star | 575 | 86 | 903 | 382 | 1.16 |
| 3 Star | 441 | 64 | 893 | 387 | 1.13 |
| 2 Star | 401 | 44 | 912 | 386 | 1.1 |
| 1 Star | 458 | 7 | 923 | 389 | 1.03 |
| Difference 1-5 Star | | +€126 | -58 Days | -11 Days | +0.21 kg |

was sufficient weight data for

Progeny of 5 star cows had

progeny of 1 star cows had an ADG of 1.03 kg, a difference of 0.21 kg. Assuming a weaning

age of 250 days this equates

to an extra 52.5 kg at weaning

150 increase in the value of a

progeny were analysed there

was no significant difference found between the Terminal Indexes and carcass traits of

the buils mated to cows across

all of the star ratings i.e. no

weanling, When the sires of the

performance and to a lesser a tent on cow milk performance. That said, when differences as

significant as those outlined in

table 1 are found in a relatively

ics is undoubtedly a factor

The Reef Data & Genomics

profitability

an ADG of 1.24 kg, while the

DATES FOR THE DIARY

○ 25th May 2016 - Newford Suckler Demonstration Herd National Open Day, Athenry, Co Ga way, 2pm-7pm. For more info go to www.newfordsucklerbeef.ie.



ADVERTISER'S ANNOUNCEMENT

acazasc 🍞

FARMER FOCUS: PALLASKENRY AGRICULTURAL COLLEGE Stars aplenty in North Mavo

large population of animals across many herds and many different management system then it is fair to say that genet-Ballina, Co. Mayo Farming system: Suckler to The high Replacement Index 5 star cows expressed the best performance across all of the weanling Four- and five-star females: Cows: 13 Heifers: 24 Stock bull or Al: 100% Al. key maternal traits outlined. nent strategy: Breed all Programme is providing farm ers with a financial incentive

Tell me about your farming introduce more of these high **Replacement Index females** run a twenty five cow spring into their herds in order to help them to improve efficiency and in turn increase output and

calving herd calving from Febru ary to mid-April. Male progeny stayed in the herd right up to the present day. There is a surplus of are sold as weanlings at the local mart in Ballina in late Octo-4/5 star animals on the farm to meet the programmes replaceber. The herd is closed and has a high health status and we use 100% AI. Some of the weanlings ment requirements at present. All Al used on the farm, with a big emphasis on heat detection heifers are sold with the remain-Bulls have been selected on the der kept for breeding, Some of the heifers were sold at the Special Breeding Sale organised hasis of (1) Easy calving - short gesta-(2) Milk. by ICBF, Teagasc and Mayo Sligo Mart last year. They were four (3) Temperament and five star heifers out of the (4) Fertility. Advice is taken from Sean Caf-frey, the local AI technician from kler herd with a maximum of Has the BDGP had an influence Progressive Genetics. on your breeding policy? Longevity of cows is quite good I have been keeping breeding records on the farm for the last twenty years. The farm was in on the farm with many cows lasting over 10 years and culling rates are quite low. I look for a milk until 2001 when it changed cow that has fertility, milk, calves over to suckling full time. Re herself easily, rears a good calf, acements were bred from the goes back in calf again. I aim to select replacements from good

important when selling stock o to somebody else. cows and the milk NEXT WEEK ETTER FARM €URO-STAR ANALYSIS

milking cows. Cows are obs

for Al four times a day - early

late at night. Heat deter

morning, midday, evening and

are used in the form of kamars It is positioned on the back bor

of the cow, the patch bursts

when the cow is mounted and

the red dve is easily spotted. Cows are scanned at 55-60 da after Al.

What do you plan to do with

Mart at the next special bree sale for 4/5 star replacement

Billy Loftus the mart manager

have put a lot of work into these special sales in the past. The temperament of the stoc

along with local Teagasc staff

is very good as animals are

used to being handled which is

your surplus helfers? These will be sold at Mayo Sligo

- All participants have to attend a 4-hour training session. Groups of 25 farmers.
- Undertaken by Teagasc. •
 - 24k participants will be trained by Nov 2016.
 - Big focus on benefits of genetics.
 - Hugely positive response to training.
 - Supporting articles in IFJ.



Key Actions; Carbon Navigator



The Beef Carbon Navigator Improving carbon efficiency on Irish beef farms



e Farm Carbon Navigator was developed by Teagasc and Bord Bia as an advisory tool to support the roll out of Origin Green at farm level. www.qas.bordbia.le

- On-line assessment of the carbon efficiency of each farm.
 - Key data such as number animals, age, gender, length grazing season, N fertiliser efficiency....
 - To be completed by end year with an advisor.
- Ongoing assessment => benchmark improvements.



Evolution of ICBF Beef Evaluations.

Within breed muscle and skeletal for LM, CH, SI (40k) Across breed. New calving (200k recs) and carcass evaluations (100k recs) New fertility and cow milkability evaluations First overall beef profit indexes 39 million pedigrees Calving 10m recs Live-weight 4m recs Calf Quality 2m recs Carcass 7m recs Fertility 4m recs 50,000 foreign ebvs

530,000 genotypes

| 2005 | 2007 | 2010 | 2013 | 2015 |
|------|------|------|------|------|



Range of breeds & cross-breeds.

| Breed Sire * Breed Dam | Num animals |
|------------------------|-------------|
| HO_FR | 55,258 |
| LM_ | 28,943 |
| CH_ | 26,777 |
| LM_HO | 25,212 |
| LM_CH | 23,346 |
| CH_LM | 21,569 |
| LM_SI | 19,408 |
| AA_HO | 14,619 |
| LM_AA | 14,246 |
| LM_HE | 14,235 |
| AA_ | 13,908 |
| HO_ | 11,627 |
| CH_SI | 11,617 |
| HE_HO | 10,715 |
| LM_BB | 10,385 |

- 533,093 animals with genotypes.
- \cdot 30 different breeds.
- 791 different sire breed * dam breed combinations.
- ~68% of data is from cross-bred animals.



Approach to Genomic Evaluations.

- Two step (SNP BLUP then blending) applied successfully in dairy cattle since 2009.
- Mix99 software used (Luke, Finland).
- Preference to use Single Step (SS) GBLUP.
 - Multiple breeds including cross-breds, cows & calves.
- "Hard" deadline of August 2016 for delivery of "official" genomic evaluations.
- After ~12 months intensive R&D, took a decision in May 2016 to proceed with 2-step, NOT 1-step.
- Farmers needed proofs to make decisions ahead of 2018 and 2020 replacement deadlines.



Experience with ssGBLUP.

- Existing evaluations were developed to utilise all available data.
 - Beef performance evaluation was a 29 trait model with 7m records, to predict 6 goal traits.
 - Models needed to be simplified to incorporate genomics.
- Some traits were not converging as well as others, e.g. cow survival & maternal weaning weight.
- Computer resource quickly became a problem
 - RAM (Random Access Memory) to create H-Inv
 - Hard disk space: huge temporary files



Solutions investigated.

- Short term.
 - Purchase more computer resource.
 - Split evaluation into multiple runs with core group of genotypes in each run.
 - Concentrate on males only initially.
- · Long term
 - Use the SAC to investigate longer term options.
 - LUKE: Application of APY method.
 - WUR and Iowa State University: Variations of Single Step Bayesian Regression.
 - NMBU: ssGBLUP by genotype imputation.





Experience with ssGBLUP

Solution 1: Splitting evaluations into subsets

Validation females

No of females 11401 correlation r = 0.894 Dataset1 = 0.02 {stdev = 0.08} Dataset2 = 0.02 {stdev = 0.08}



| | 0 0 0 AU | | * * * BB | |
|---------------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------|-------------------------------------|---------------------|
| 000 BY | 🗆 🗆 🗆 CH | * * * DX | \diamond \diamond \diamond FR | 🔷 💠 🗢 GA |
| OOOHE | 🔷 🔷 🔶 H I | 🔷 🔷 но | \diamond \diamond \diamond Im | + + + JE |
| + + + ке | + + + LM | + + + MA | + + + MO | + + + MY |
| + + + NO | + + + PI | + + + PT | + + + BB | imes 	imes 	imes sa |
| $\times \times \times$ SH | $	imes 	imes 	imes 	extsf{si}$ | imes 	imes 	imes SP | imes 	imes 	imes un | |
| | | | | |

2-Step Genomic Evaluation



Correlation in proofs.

| Index1 | Index2 | AA | AU | BA | BB | СН | HE | LM | PI | PT | SA | SH | SI | ALL |
|--------------------|---------------------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|
| | | 256 | 61 | 79 | 322 | 474 | 161 | 421 | 73 | 57 | 66 | 89 | 216 | 2275 |
| Replacement_Off | Repl_New_Geno | 0.92 | 0.96 | 0.92 | 0.89 | 0.89 | 0.90 | 0.92 | 0.94 | 0.91 | 0.92 | 0.81 | 0.91 | 0.91 |
| Replacement_Off | Repl_New_NonGeno | 0.93 | 0.95 | 0.93 | 0.90 | 0.93 | 0.92 | 0.93 | 0.94 | 0.91 | 0.94 | 0.83 | 0.92 | 0.92 |
| Repl_New_Geno | Repl_New_NonGeno | 0.98 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.96 | 0.95 | 0.98 | 0.99 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.98 | 0.98 | 0.98 | 0.98 |
| Carcass weight_Off | Carcwt_New_Geno | 0.95 | 0.97 | 0.97 | 0.96 | 0.92 | 0.97 | 0.91 | 0.96 | 0.96 | 0.96 | 0.99 | 0.97 | 0.96 |
| Carcass weight_Off | Carcwt_New_NonGeno | 0.98 | 0.97 | 0.98 | 0.98 | 0.95 | 0.98 | 0.94 | 0.97 | 0.97 | 0.97 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.97 |
| Carcwt_New_Geno | Carcwt_New_NonGeno | 0.98 | 1.00 | 0.99 | 0.98 | 0.96 | 0.98 | 0.97 | 1.00 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 1.00 | 0.98 | 0.98 |
| Cow Survival_Off | CowSurv_New_Geno | 0.80 | 0.76 | 0.86 | 0.67 | 0.72 | 0.85 | 0.79 | 0.88 | 0.73 | 0.79 | 0.81 | 0.85 | 0.79 |
| Cow Survival_Off | CowSurv_New_NonGeno | 0.82 | 0.72 | 0.86 | 0.73 | 0.83 | 0.86 | 0.81 | 0.89 | 0.77 | 0.86 | 0.83 | 0.88 | 0.82 |
| CowSurv_New_Geno | CowSurv_New_NonGeno | 0.98 | 0.96 | 0.98 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.97 | 0.98 | 1.00 | 0.94 | 0.92 | 0.96 | 0.99 | 0.96 |

- · Correlations fairly consistent across breeds.
- Correlations are lower for some traits, mainly due to simplification of models.
- Using genomics, reliability of evaluations for young animals have doubled from 20% => 40%+



Other Challenges.

- Pedigree errors, especially for herdbook registered animals.
 - -~15% sire-calf errors.
 - Farmers generally happy. With genomics can predict 50%+ of these and increasing.
 - Moving to DNA-based calf registration from next year => Removal of pedigree errors.
- · Lab/human errors.
 - Even at 0.5% =1500 problems to resolve!



Next Steps.

- Now that we are operational, more time for R&D.
 - Continue work toward single step genomic evaluations.
 - Impact of using cross-bred data?
 - Impact of using data from one breed to predict a second breed?
 - Approach to validation?
- Further update at EAAP Belfast 2016!



5. Conclusions.

- Genetic/genomics can contribute positively to profitability and carbon efficiency.
 - High genetic merit herds => €130/cow/yr more profit with 9% less CO2/kg beef produced.
- At present, 2-step methods are best to deliver a stable genomic evaluation service.
 - 1-step methods are better. How soon?!
- Move to simpler models.
 - Let genomics/biology be drivers, not predictors.
- The BDGP has had a huge impact on the uptake/interest in genetics.



Final Thought.

- Climate change is one of the "major" issue for governments.
- Genetic/genomics can play a key part.
- Other benefits will accrue, e.g., identification, ancestry, traceability, genetic gain (for other traits).....international collaboration.
- Develop projects linking genomics with climate. Think "BIG" as the money will be spent elsewhere!



Acknowledgement.

- Irish Department of Agriculture, Food and Marine (DAFM) & EU for the "Beef Data and Genomics Program".
 - For more information, please see ICBF and DAFM websites.
 - Contact myself <u>acromie@icbf.com</u>.
- All partners involved in the Irish Beef Data and Genomics Project.
- DAFM FIRM/Stimulus for travel support

